## Case 1:14-cr-00483-LAP Document 86 Filed 03/16/15 Page 1 of 28

**U.S. Department of Justice** 

United States Attorney Southern District of New York

The Silvio J. Mollo Building One Saint Andrew's Plaza New York, New York 10007

March 16, 2015

## **BY ECF**

The Honorable Loretta A. Preska United States District Judge United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007

Re: <u>United States</u> v. <u>Alexander Morillo</u>, 14 Cr. 483 (LAP)

Dear Chief Judge Preska:

Pursuant to Your Honor's referral, defendant Alexander Morillo entered a guilty plea on February 26, 2015 before Magistrate Judge Kevin N. Fox. The Government respectfully requests that Your Honor accept the defendant's guilty plea. A copy of the transcript of the defendant's plea allocution, along with a proposed Order accepting the guilty plea, are attached for Your Honor's consideration.

At the plea proceeding, Magistrate Judge Fox set a sentencing control date of June 26, 2015. The Government respectfully requests that the Court schedule sentencing for that time or for another time convenient to the Court.

Respectfully submitted,

PREET BHARARA
United States Attorney
Southern District of New York

By: /s/ Shawn G. Crowley

Brendan F. Quigley/Shawn G. Crowley Assistant United States Attorneys

(212) 637-2190/1034

Enclosures

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

- - - - - - - - - - - - - X

:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : ORDER
:
- v. - : 14 Cr. 483 (LAP)
:

ALEXANDER MORILLO, :

Defendant. :

- - - - - - - X

WHEREAS, with the consent of defendant ALEXANDER MORILLO, his guilty plea allocution was made before a United States Magistrate Judge on February 26, 2015;

WHEREAS, a transcript of the allocution was made and thereafter was transmitted to the District Court; and

WHEREAS, upon review of that transcript, this Court has determined that the defendant entered the guilty plea knowingly and voluntarily and that there was a factual basis for the guilty plea;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the defendant's guilty plea is accepted.

SO ORDERED:

THE HONORABLE LORETTA A. PRESKA CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

## Case 1:14-cr-00483-LAP Document 86 Filed 03/16/15 Page 3 of 28

| F'2q1morp  |   |
|--|---|
| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK   |   |
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  |   |
| V.   | 14-CR-483 (LAP)                                   |
| ALEXANDER MORILLO,   |   |
| Defendant.   | Plea  |
| x  |   |
|  | New York, N.Y.<br>February 26, 2015<br>10:35 a.m. |
| Before:  | I EOV   |
| HON. KEVIN N   |   |
|  | Magistrate Judge                                  |
| APPEARAN   | CES   |
| PREET BHARARA  United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York BY: BENET KEARNEY, ESQ. SHAWN CROWLEY, ESQ. Assistant United States Attor  OLIVER S. STORCH, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant |   |
| ALSO PRESENT: CARLOS RAMIREZ, Pre  | trial Services Officer                            |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |

1 (Case called)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, please state your name for the record.

MS. KEARNEY: Good morning, your Honor. Benet Kearney and Shawn Crowley for the government.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. STORCH: Oliver Storch for Mr. Alexander Morillo. Good morning, Judge.

THE COURT: Good morning.

Is there an application on behalf of the defendant?

MR. STORCH: There is, your Honor. With the court's permission, my client wishes to change his plea from not guilty to enter a plea to the plea agreement that's before the honorable court to Count One of the superseding indictment, your Honor.

THE COURT: I have before me indictment S1 14-CR-483, a multicount indictment. We'll be focusing on Count One of the indictment only, which charges a violation of Title 21 United States Code Section 846, which makes it an offense for a person to conspire with others to violate the laws of the United States respecting controlled substances.

You have a right to have this morning's proceeding presided over by a district judge. You may if you wish consent to have a magistrate judge preside over this morning's proceeding. In that connection I have before me a document

labeled Consent to Proceed Before a United States Magistrate 1 Judge on a Felony Plea Allocution. 2 3 Mr. Cancellarich, please swear the defendant, please. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Will the defendant state his name 4 5 for the record, please. 6 THE DEFENDANT: Alexander Morillo. 7 (Defendant sworn) THE COURT: Mr. Morillo, I want to show you the 8 9 consent form about which I've been speaking. Do you recognize 10 the document, sir? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 MR. STORCH: Would your Honor want my client to sit or 13 stand? 14 THE COURT: Sit and pull the microphone close to you. 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. Have you had an opportunity to review it 16 THE COURT: 17 with your attorney? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Is there anything contained in the consent 20 form that you do not understand? 21 THE DEFENDANT: I understand everything, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Do you acknowledge that the form explains 23 in greater detail what I mentioned to you a moment ago about 24 your right to have this proceeding presided over by a district

judge and, further, that by signing the document, you are

25

24

25

agreeing that a magistrate judge may preside over this 1 2 morning's proceeding? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Is your true signature on the consent 5 form? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Did anyone force you to sign the document? 8 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Let me turn my attention to your counsel. 10 Is your signature also on the consent form? 11 MR. STORCH: It is, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Very well. I shall sign the document and 13 then we shall continue. 14 Mr. Morillo, would you state your full name, please. 15 THE DEFENDANT: Alexander Morillo. THE COURT: In the last 24 hours have you consumed any 16 17 medicine, alcohol, or drugs that would affect your ability to 18 understand what you're doing here today? THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 19 20 THE COURT: Are you under the care of a physician or 21 psychiatrist for any condition? 22 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

or drug addiction?

THE COURT: Have you ever been treated for alcoholism

THE COURT: Which, alcoholism or drug addiction? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Drug addiction. 3 THE COURT: When or approximately when was the last 4 time that you received treatment for that condition? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Last week, Thursday. 6 THE COURT: Is there anything about the treatment that 7 you're receiving for that condition that would affect your 8 ability to understand what you're doing here today? 9 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Do you feel all right today? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. What is the extent of your education, sir? 12 THE COURT: 13 THE DEFENDANT: High school education. 14 THE COURT: Have you received a copy of indictment 15 S1 14-CR-483? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: I understand -- and your counsel made 18 reference to it -- that you and he and a representative of the government have reached an agreement in connection with your 19 20 tender of a plea of quilty and that notwithstanding the offense 21 recited in Count One of the indictment, it's the understanding 22 of the parties that you'll be pleading to a lesser included 23 offense in satisfaction of that charge. Is that correct, sir? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Do you understand the charge to which you

1 are pleading? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Have you had sufficient opportunity to 4 speak with your attorney about the charge to which you are 5 pleading and how you wish to plead to it? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Are you satisfied with the assistance that 8 your attorney has rendered to you in connection with this case? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Are you ready to plead to Count One of the 11 indictment, understanding that your plea is to a lesser included offense in connection with Count One of the 12 13 indictment? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: What is your plea to the lesser included offense, guilty or not guilty? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 18 THE COURT: Are you a United States citizen? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Do you understand that by pleading quilty 21 to the lesser included offense, a felony offense, you may be 22 giving up certain valuable civil rights that you possess, among 23 others the following: the right to vote; the right to hold

possess any type of firearm, including rifles or shotguns; the

public office; the right to serve on a jury; the right to

24

25

right to possess certain types of professional licenses; and the right to be considered for certain types of employment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I understand.

THE COURT: Because the offense to which you are pleading involves controlled substances, under Title 21 United States Code Section 862, as a consequence of your plea, you may be no longer entitled to benefits to which you would otherwise be entitled, among them the following: Social Security; food stamp benefits; education loans or grants; and public housing or housing subsidies. Do you understand, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: I have to determine whether you are pleading guilty voluntarily and whether you have a full understanding of the nature of the charge to which you are pleading and the possible consequences of your plea, so I shall be asking you additional questions. I first want to ensure that you understand the nature of the charge made against you.

Count One of the indictment as originally crafted charges that you participated in a conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to distribute 1 kilogram and more of mixtures and substances containing a detectable amount of heroin, in violation of Title 21 United States Code Section 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(A), and 846, and as I indicated earlier, you and your counsel and representatives of the government have reached an agreement whereby you, and have,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

entered a plea of guilty to the lesser included offense of conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to distribute mixtures and substances containing a detectable amount of heroin, in violation of Title 21 United States Code Section 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(C), and 846.

The law provides as the maximum penalty for the offense to which you have tendered a plea of guilty the following: a maximum sentence of 20 years' imprisonment; a maximum fine pursuant to Title 21 United States Code Section 841(b)(1)(C) and Title 18 United States Code Section 3571 of the greatest of \$1 million, twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense, or twice the gross pecuniary loss to persons other than yourself resulting from the offense; a maximum term of supervised release of life; a mandatory minimum term of supervised release of three years; a mandatory \$100 special assessment; and you are subject to an order of restitution pursuant to Title 18 United States Code Section 3663, 3663(a), and 3664. If you are sentenced to a term of supervised release and violate the terms and conditions of the supervised release such that it is revoked, you would expose yourself to serving in prison all or part of the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in such term of supervised release, without credit for time previously served on postrelease supervision.

Mr. Morillo, do you understand the nature of the

have the right to testify, to confront and question any

THE COURT: Do you understand that at a trial, you'd

24

25

witnesses who might testify against you, and the right not to 1 be forced to be a witness against yourself and that you do not 2 3 have to testify against yourself or incriminate yourself? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Do you understand that at trial you'd be 6 entitled to call witnesses to testify and to compel the 7 attendance of witnesses? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand, your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: Do you understand that if you plead 10 quilty, there will be no trial of any kind so that you give up 11 your right to a trial, and the only remaining step would be for 12 the assigned district judge to sentence you? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Sir, are you certain that you understand 15 the nature of the charge to which you are tendering a plea of 16 quilty? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. 18 THE COURT: And are you certain that you understand 19 the range of penalties, including the maximum sentence to which 20 you are potentially subjecting yourself by your plea? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Have you and your attorney talked about

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

23

24

25

how the Sentencing Commission guidelines, which are advisory

only, might inform the sentence to be imposed upon you?

THE COURT: Do you understand that in determining your sentence, the sentencing judge is obligated to calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range and possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

2.2

THE COURT: Do you understand that in addition to the factors set forth in the Sentencing Commission guidelines, the sentencing judge will also consider factors that are found at 18 U.S.C. Section 3553 in determining what an appropriate sentence might be for you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the sentencing judge may be obligated to impose a special assessment on you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Do you understand that parole has been abolished and that if you are sentenced to prison, you will not be released on parole?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the answers you give to me today under oath may in the future be used against you for perjury or false statement if you do not tell the truth to the court?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: What are the elements of the offense to which Mr. Morillo is tendering a plea of guilty?

MS. KEARNEY: If this case were to go to trial, the government would prove two elements of the offense:

First, that the defendant agreed with others to distribute and to possess with intent to distribute heroin; and secondly, that he did so knowingly.

The government would also demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that at least one act in furtherance of this agreement took place in the Southern District of New York.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Morillo, having heard the elements of the offense to which you have tendered a plea of guilty, is it still your desire to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have any threats been made to you by anyone to influence you to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have any promises been made to you concerning the sentence that you will receive?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: I want to draw your attention once again, because it has been mentioned already that you and your counsel and representatives from the government have reached certain agreements and understandings in connection with your tender of a plea of guilty, and those agreements and understandings were

reduced to a writing, specifically a letter dated January 9, 2015, addressed to Oliver S. Storch, your attorney. I have a copy of that document before me, which I shall show you. Do you recognize the document, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you have an opportunity to review it with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is there anything contained in the January 9, 2015 writing that you do not understand?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: I want to draw to your attention also that the indictment S1 14-CR-483, in addition to reciting various statutory violations, contains a forfeiture allegation in which the United States government indicated it will seek to recoup from you the proceeds of the illegal conduct described in the indictment. Are you aware that the indictment has a forfeiture allegation, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I'm aware, your Honor.

THE COURT: The January 9, 2015 writing about which I spoke a few moments ago contains an admission of the forfeiture allegation, an admission by you to that allegation. Are you aware of that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I'm aware.

THE COURT: The document also contains an analysis of

how the Sentencing Commission quidelines might apply to your 1 case. Are you aware of that? 2 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I'm aware, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Do you understand that notwithstanding the 5 analysis of the guidelines in the January 9, 2015 writing, the 6 impact, if any, of the Sentencing Commission guidelines may 7 have on the sentence to be imposed upon you is left solely to the discretion of the sentencing judge? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: There is text in the January 9, 2015 11 writing that constricts your ability to appeal from or 12 collaterally attack the judgment of conviction or sentence that 13 might be imposed upon you. Are you aware of that, sir? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 15 THE COURT: And there's also language in that document that restricts your ability to seek a sentence modification 16 17 under Title 18 United States Code Section 3582(c). Are you aware of that? 18 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I'm aware, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Let me show you the last page of the

THE COURT: Let me show you the last page of the January 9, 2015 writing. Is your true signature on that page, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE COURT: Did anyone force you to sign the document?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Let me turn my attention to your counsel 2 Is your signature also on this page? again. 3 MR. STORCH: Yes, it is, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: And representatives of the government also signed the last page of the document? 5 6 MS. KEARNEY: Yes, we did. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Morillo, other than the agreements and understandings that you and your attorney and representatives 8 9 of the government have made and reached that are outlined in 10 the January 9, 2015 writing about which we have been speaking, 11 have any other agreements or understandings been made or 12 reached with you in connection with your tender of a plea of 13 quilty? 14 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Sir, is your plea being made voluntarily, 16 that is, of your own free will? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, it's voluntarily. 18 THE COURT: Did you commit the lesser included offense, that is, the lesser included to the offense that's 19 20 recited in Count One of the indictment S1 14-CR-483? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Could you tell me in your own words what 23 it is that you did that makes you believe yourself quilty of 24 that lesser included offense.

THE DEFENDANT: Between February 2014 and November

25

2014, I agreed with others to possess and distribute heroin in the Bronx, New York. I know what I did was illegal and I did it in my knowledge.

THE COURT: Any questions you would have me put to the defendant, Ms. Kearney?

MS. KEARNEY: None, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Storch, are you aware of any reason why your client should not plead guilty?

MR. STORCH: I do not, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is the government aware of any reason why the defendant should not plead guilty?

MS. KEARNEY: Your Honor, we don't believe this affects the defendant's competence to plead guilty; however, probation has informed us that on Monday, Mr. Morillo tested positive for opiates and admitted this to the probation officer that he had used heroin. Again, we don't believe it affects his competence to enter a plea.

MR. STORCH: If I may, your Honor.

THE COURT: Just one moment.

MR. STORCH: Sure.

THE COURT: Notwithstanding the information about the use of a controlled substance, is there any reason the government is aware of why the defendant should not plead quilty?

MS. KEARNEY: Other than that, no.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Storch, do you want to be heard?

MR. STORCH: Sure, your Honor. When I received the email last night at 5:30, I made sure to interact with my client this morning, as best as I could, to ascertain that he's alert and that he's of sound mind, ready to proceed, and it's my opinion that he is, your Honor. Again, I'm not a healthcare professional, but I do believe that my client understands the nature of the proceedings and is ready to go forward, as he has done, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I did explore with him at the beginning of this proceeding whether he had consumed any substance that would affect his ability to understand what he's doing here today and he indicated to me that he had not and that he felt well, and from that I determined to proceed with the pleading proceeding. Nothing that I have observed while watching Mr. Morillo has indicated that he is not aware of the questions that I have put to him. He's been able to answer them appropriately, so I determine that it's appropriate to continue with the proceedings.

If the matter were to proceed to trial, what evidence would the government offer for the charge to which Mr. Morillo pleaded to?

MS. KEARNEY: At trial the government's evidence would have included, among other things, wiretap evidence, testimony

from law enforcement officials, and testimony from a 1 2 cooperating witness. 3 THE COURT: Would you tell me in a general way what 4 the law enforcement testimony and the cooperating witness 5 testimony might include. 6 MS. KEARNEY: Sure. If I could just have a minute. 7 THE COURT: Sure. 8 (Government counsel conferring) 9 MS. KEARNEY: Your Honor, the cooperator would testify 10 about his involvement in the conspiracy. Law enforcement 11 witnesses would also testify regarding the wiretap evidence as 12 well as observed drug buys. 13 THE COURT: And how would either the testimony of the 14 cooperating witness or the law enforcement officer testimony 15 and/or the wiretap evidence relate to Mr. Morillo? MS. KEARNEY: Again, if I could have a minute. 16 17 THE COURT: Sure. 18 (Government counsel conferring) MS. KEARNEY: Your Honor, on the wiretaps, the 19 20 defendant was recorded making purchases of drugs. 21 THE COURT: Is there some evidence that would identify 22 that he had some agreement with others to possess whatever he 23 purchased for the purpose of distributing it, as opposed to

MS. KEARNEY: Yes. The law enforcement witnesses

purchasing drugs for his own use?

24

25

would also testify that the amount purchased by the defendant was not consistent with personal use but rather was distribution.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

I'm satisfied that Mr. Morillo understands the nature of the charge to which he has tendered a plea of guilty. I'm satisfied that he understands the consequences of his plea of guilty. I'm satisfied that the plea is being made voluntarily and knowingly and that there is a factual basis for the plea. I shall report and recommend to the assigned district judge that the plea be accepted.

I'm going to fix a date for sentence and I shall direct the parties to contact the assigned district judge to determine whether that date is convenient for sentencing. I'll fix June 26, 2015.

I'll direct that a presentence report be prepared prior to that date. In connection with the preparation of that report, the government should present its case summary materials to the probation department not later than 14 days from today. The defendant and his counsel should make themselves available for an interview with the probation department not later than 14 days from today. I shall direct the government to obtain a transcript of the minutes generated during this proceeding and provide same to the assigned district judge before the date of sentence.

Is there any request with respect to bail?

MS. KEARNEY: No, your Honor. The government's understanding is that the current conditions should continue.

I'd also just want to draw your attention -- probation would like to be heard regarding the defendant's drug use and treatment.

MR. STORCH: It's pretrial, your Honor.

THE COURT: Pretrial services?

MS. KEARNEY: I'm sorry. Pretrial.

THE COURT: Mr. Storch, what is your client's position on bail?

MR. STORCH: Your Honor, my client voluntarily, upon his arrest, and once your Honor set the conditions of bond, voluntarily enrolled in the Abraham House Alternatives to Incarceration program, which is located in the Bronx. We've had significant updates. They update the court for every court appearance. I did receive an update at 1:30 a.m., which I was not able to print out. I came straight from home to my office. And Mr. Morillo attends the Abraham House program twice a week. These are programs designated to foster better understanding of one's place in the community. The drug element of that is addressed through pretrial, and I dare not speak for them. They'll better be able to explain to the court the particular program that my client is in. It's my understanding that when there was an initial drug test, my client continued with the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

program and he has since been — his frequency or the intensity of the program has been heightened. Obviously I'm saddened to hear that there was a positive drug test recently, and it's my client's desire to rid himself of the demons of drugs, but at the end of the day, he himself has to, of course, do that. So to update your Honor, he's continuing with the Abraham House program twice a week.

He also is enrolled in a drug program, and I would ask the honorable court to give my client another chance. I know it's a lot to ask for a second second chance, so to speak, but the scourge of drug addiction is not something that sort of heals overnight. Some people make better progress than others. I did explain to my client, you know, unlike an individual who is not standing accused of a crime and, incidentally, on a drug crime, it's sort of ironic here my client just pled quilty to distributing drugs but he himself is essentially an abuser. It's never by way of excuse but by way of mitigation. He has to get himself clean. I don't believe an incarcerative setting is necessarily the best place. Drugs are available even in I would recommend to the honorable court for him to continue the course of having the more intense drug program, which he just recently started, and continually update Chief Judge Preska as to the progress.

 $\,$  And I think that is my position, your Honor, and I thank your Honor for asking.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Do representatives from the pretrial services want to address the court?

MR. RAMIREZ: Your Honor, Carlos Ramirez from pretrial services. I'm the drug and alcohol treatment specialist. With me is Erica Cudina, the officer who is actually supervising the defendant.

We did not bring this matter to the court seeking remand or we're not seeking his detention. We were looking to inform the court and we were concerned about his drug use because he was in an outpatient program. We recently placed him in a detox program because of his addiction to opiates. was in a week's detox from February 2<sup>nd</sup> to February 7<sup>th</sup>. He completed the detox program and resumed the outpatient treatment program, SCAN NY, that he's attending. However, he shared with us that he purchased heroin on 2/19, Thursday, February 19<sup>th</sup>, and he used that heroin on Friday, the 20<sup>th</sup>. He reported to the office on Monday, the 23<sup>rd</sup>, which was earlier this week, and he was found positive for opiates. So we were concerned, number one, because we have him in an outpatient program. They wanted him detoxed for him to be part of that program and to receive benefit from the program. can't have someone in a program who's under the influence. So we had to detox him, and we just did that earlier this month. He's relapsed -- and we understand the concept of relapse -apparently by purchasing drugs and using them, and he's back in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

outpatient treatment. But we did not think it would be advisable not to share this with the court. We wanted the court to be aware of that, and especially since there's a plea taking place this morning, we wanted to make sure that he was not under the influence and represent to the court what we believe is the case, that he did not use drugs prior to coming to court, but if the court wanted to know, we wanted the court to know that he has used recently. He is in treatment. continuing to monitor that. We're hoping that he understands that his continued use of drugs, purchasing, possessing, using, is not something that we want him to do, it's not something that the court wants him to do, and that if this continues, either his using drugs, purchasing, being arrested, or not complying with treatment, we will be informing Judge Preska, who is the judge who has this case for sentencing, and if Judge Preska or if your Honor wants to take action, we'd let the court decide that, but for now we will continue to monitor him and hope he understands that this continued use of illegal drugs or prescription drugs, because that was his addiction before, is not something we tolerate or condone.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Morillo, as your attorney indicated, the choice is yours. You have to reach a point where you're determined to curb your appetite for controlled substances. If you do not, the ability that you have now to remain at liberty and among

your family and friends is going to be jeopardized because the pretrial services office, as you heard, will continue to monitor you and it's going to report to the court your progress, or lack thereof, and if there is a lack of progress, if there is again a relapse, it's unlikely that the freedom that you enjoy today, to come into the court under your own steam and to return home under your own steam, will continue, but it's really up to you. No one can do this for you. It's something that you have to do for yourself, with the aid of the personnel at the program that you're involved in, but ultimately, it's on you. Your fate is in your hands.

So I'm going to continue the bail conditions that were previously fixed for you. You're going to have to continue to work and work very hard with the professionals at the program that you're involved in to address your addiction. I'm hopeful that what you're hearing today will impress upon you the need to do and pursue a course of conduct different from that which you have pursued previously. But it's up to you. As your lawyer said, being in jail is not going to help you necessarily address the problem that you have. Participating in a program that the pretrial services office has helped you be involved in is something that can help you, if you want to help yourself, and only if you want to help yourself. It's up to you, sir.

All right. I've given you the sentence date. I've told the parties to contact the assigned district judge to make

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sure that that date is convenient for sentencing, and I'm hopeful, Mr. Morillo, that there's not going to be any more reports from the pretrial services office that you're not working as hard as you can to avoid the purchase of illegal drugs or the use of and abuse of prescription drugs, but only time will tell.

All right. Is there anything else that we needed to address?

MS. KEARNEY: Not from the government, your Honor.

MR. STORCH: Not from the defense, your Honor. I just wanted to point out that Mr. Morillo's family is in court. mother is here, a hard-working immigrant who came to the United States and has worked from the day she got here, so I think it's especially insulting to his family to engage in this type of conduct. Obviously addiction is not something that's so easily addressed, but he has all the tools. The family's behind him. The offices of the pretrial service have been very vigilant in terms of monitoring him, and the Abraham House program is also expending all their efforts. As your Honor eloquently pointed out, it is really up to Mr. Morillo to really step up, because at the end of the day, there is an advisory sentencing range of 87 to 108 months with a statutory maximum of 20 years that we ultimately have to deal with, and like I say, I appreciate your Honor's sort of reading the riot act to Mr. Morillo. Thank you.